



### The integration of functional imaging in the management of head and neck cancer

#### Sandro V Porceddu

Director, Radiation Oncology Research Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia Professor, Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland



# Head and Neck PET Imaging Research Program

 Clinical research program assessing the utility of functional (PET/CT) imaging in the evaluation of the neck following radiotherapy in head and neck cancer

 Incorporation of the findings into the routine management of head and neck cancer

#### Australian cancer incidence & mortality





![](_page_4_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Multidisciplinary Care**

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Multidisciplinary Care**

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Mucosal Head and Neck Cancer**

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Head and Neck Cancer**

- 4-6% of all cancers
- 5% of cancerrelated deaths
- Commonly Squamous Cell Carcinoma
- Smoking related

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

AIHW 2008

# Falling rates of smoking

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

Sturgis and Ang, JNCCN, 2011

# Rising incidence of Oropharyngeal SCC

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Larsen P. Radiother Oncol 2010

### Rising incidence or HPV associated Oropharyngeal Ca

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **SEER Registry**

#### Treatment

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Base of Tongue SCC** 

#### Options

- Surgery/post-operative radiotherapy
- Chemo-radiotherapy
- No randomised comparisons

#### Outcomes

- 40-60% 3yr overall survival
- (85% 3yr OS HPV+ disease)

#### Curative chemo-radiotherapy Node positive head & neck cancer

#### • 7 weeks of XRT & concurrent cisplatin

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

Post-therapy

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Icarus effect**

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Residual nodal dilemma following radio(chemo)therapy

 40-50% of patients with node positive Head & Neck SCC will have a residual nodal abnormality after radiotherapy

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Management of the neck following Radiation Therapy and a complete response at the primary site

- Following a complete response in the neck isolated nodal recurrence is uncommon ( $\leq$  5%) therefore observe the neck
- Patients with a residual nodal mass in the neck have a ~30-40% risk of having pathologically positive residual disease (non-HPV oropharyngeal cancer)
- Post radiotherapy biopsy of the residual neck mass is unreliable to guide the need for neck dissection
- Therefore perform neck dissection in patients with residual nodal abnormality
- Some advocate for ND in all patients presenting with nodal disease regardless of response (planned neck dissection)

#### **Post-therapy neck dissection**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

More selective approach to choosing patients for neck dissection would be advantageous

#### **PET imaging of the neck**

Improving the predictive value of post-therapy imaging for residual nodal disease through functional imaging (FDG PET-CT)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Utility of PET for the detection of residual neck nodes after radiotherapy in Head and Neck cancer

- 39 patients with node positive HNC who had a complete response at the primary site and a residual neck mass on CT after radiotherapy
- PET performed 12 weeks post therapy
- 32 pts with a residual neck mass were PET negative in the neck
  - 5 neck dissection all pathologically negative
  - 27 patients observed with one neck failure
  - Negative Predictive value 97%
- 7 patients were PET positive in the neck
  - all had a neck dissection
  - Positive Predictive value 71%

# Utility of PET for the detection of residual neck nodes after radiotherapy in Head and neck cancer

- 39 patients with node positive HNC who had a complete response at the primary site and a residual neck mass on CT after radiotherapy
- PET performed 12 weeks post therapy
- 32 pts with a residual neck mass were PET negative in the neck
  - 5 neck dissection all pathologically negative
  - 27 patients observed with one neck failure
  - Negative Predictive value 97%
- 7 patients were PET positive in the neck
  - all had a neck dissection
  - Positive Predictive value 71%

Prospective study of PET-directed management of the neck in node positive (N+) head and neck cancer following definitive radiotherapy with or without systemic therapy

SV. PORCEDDU, D. PRYOR, E. BURMEISTER, B. BURMEISTER, M. POULSEN, M. FOOTE, B. PANIZZA, J. LOGAN, D. MCFARLANE, S COMAN, W. COMAN

Porceddu SV et al Head Neck 2011

#### Purpose

 Can PET predict who could safely have the neck observed despite the presence of any residual nodal CT abnormality in patients who achieved a complete response at the primary site

#### Endpoint

 Assess the isolated nodal failure rate in patients who achieve a complete response at the primary site

#### **PET-guided policy of the neck**

Mucosal N+ HNSCC treated with RT+/-Chemo Complete response at primary site 12 week re-staging FDG-PET/CT Synchronous diagnostic CT scan

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

^ Regardless of any residual nodal abnormality on re-staging CT scan

#### Outcomes

123 patients treated during the study period (Jan05- Apr 09)

112 (91%) patients achieved a complete response at the primary site by 12 weeks

Oropharyngeal 83 (74%) p16+ 59 (53%)

Median FU 28 (12-60) months

12 week nodal response	Patient No. n=112	Post-therapy treatment	Outcome (median FU 28m)
CT no residual PET Negative	62 (55%)	Observed	Isolated nodal failures = 0
CT Residual PET Negative	41 (37%)	Observed	Isolated nodal failures = 0
CT Residual PET Positive	9 (8%)	8 ND (7%)	Isolated nodal failures = 3 (2.7%)

12 week nodal response	Patient No. n=112	Post-therapy treatment	Outcome (median FU 28m)
CT no residual PET Negative	62 (55%)	Observed	Isolated nodal failures = 0
CT Residual PET Negative	41 (37%)	Observed	Isolated nodal failures = 0
CT Residual PET Positive	9 (8%)	8 ND (7%)	Isolated nodal failures = 3 (2.7%)

12 week nodal response	Patient No. n=112	Post-therapy treatment	Outcome (median FU 28m)
CT no residual PET Negative	62 (55%)	Observed	Isolated nodal failures = 0
CT Residual PET Negative	41 (37%)	Observed	Isolated nodal failures = 0
CT Residual PET Positive	9 (8%)	8 ND (7%)	Isolated nodal failures = 3 (2.7%)

12 week nodal response	Patient No. n=112	Post-therapy treatment	Outcome (median FU 28m)
CT no residual PET Negative	62 (55%)	Observed	Isolated nodal failures = 0
CT Residual PET Negative	41 (37%)	Observed	Isolated nodal failures = 0
CT Residual PET Positive	9 (8%)	8 ND (7%) 1 palliative 6/8 true positive	Isolated nodal failures = 3 (2.7%)

Utility of PET for the detection of disease in patients with a residual structural nodal abnormality following radio(chemo)therapy in node positive head and neck cancer

- Negative Predictive Value = 98%
- Positive Predictive Value = 78%

#### Conclusion

- PET-guided policy of the neck in node positive HNSCC following a complete response at the primary site results in a very low isolated nodal failure rate following definitive RT
- This policy appropriately spares a neck dissection in patients who are PET negative regardless of the presence of a residual CT nodal abnormality

#### T1N2bM0 oropharyngeal SCC

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

Pre-therapy

12 weeks

12 months

#### Impact of PET on neck dissection rate

Neck Dissection Policy	Neck Dissection rate
Planned Surgery for N2-3 (excluding N1)	101 (90%)
Neck surgery for residual CT abnormality (>10mm or necrotic)	50 (45%)
PET-directed Policy	<b>8 (7%)</b> For an isolated nodal failure rate of 2.7% (FU 28mths)

Median FU 62 months total nodal failures 4% Sjovall J et al Oral Oncology 2015

# Economic analysis of FDG-PET-guided management of the neck after primary chemoradiotherapy for node-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma

David I. Pryor, MBBS, FRANZCR, <sup>1,2</sup>\* Sandro V. Porceddu, MBBS, MD, FRANZCR, <sup>1,2</sup> Paul A. Scuffham, BA, PhD, <sup>3</sup> Jennifer A. Whitty, BPharm, PhD, <sup>3</sup> Paul A. Thomas, BMed, FRACP, <sup>2,4</sup> Bryan H. Burmeister, MBChB, MD, FRANZCR<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Cancer Services, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia, <sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Centre for Applied Health Economics, School of Medicine, Griffith Health Institute, Griffith University, Brisbane, Australia, <sup>4</sup>Queensland PET Service, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### PET-CT Surveillance versus Neck Dissection in Advanced Head and Neck Cancer

Hisham Mehanna, Ph.D., Wai-Lup Wong, F.R.C.R., Christopher C. McConkey, Ph.D., Joy K. Rahman, M.Sc., Max Robinson, Ph.D., Andrew G.J. Hartley, F.R.C.R., Christopher Nutting, Ph.D., Ned Powell, Ph.D., Hoda Al-Booz, F.R.C.R., Martin Robinson, F.R.C.R., Elizabeth Junor, F.R.C.R., Mohammed Rizwanullah, F.R.C.R., Sandra V. von Zeidler, Ph.D., Hulya Wieshmann, F.R.C.R., Claire Hulme, Ph.D., Alison F. Smith, M.Sc., Peter Hall, Ph.D., Janet Dunn, Ph.D., for the PET-NECK Trial Management Group\*

#### **PET-Neck Trial schema**

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Overall survival (Primary Endpoint)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Loco-regional Control**

	Neck dissection arm			Surveillance
	Intended pre CRT	Intended post CRT	Overal I	
Total	77	205	282	282
2-year loco-regional control	94.8%	92.0%	92.6%	91.9%
2-year recurrence-free	85.7%	83.5%	84.0%	85.6%
Nodal recurrence			0.7%	2.3%
Node only recurrence			0.4%	1.1%

# **NCCN Guidelines**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Validation of the ICON-S staging for HPV-associated oropharyngeal carcinoma using a pre-defined treatment policy

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

Sandro V Porceddu <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Rob Milne <sup>b</sup>, Elizabeth Brown <sup>a,c</sup>, Anne Bernard <sup>d</sup>, Reza Rahbari <sup>a</sup>, Bena Cartmill <sup>a</sup>, Matthew Foote <sup>a,b</sup>, Margaret McGrath <sup>a</sup>, Jermaine Coward <sup>a,b</sup>, Benedict Panizza <sup>b,e</sup>

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

Oral Oncology 66 (2017) 81-86

# Recommended guidelines for risk-adapted management of node positive HNSCC post-RT

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Porceddu SV & Weber R Uptodate 2016

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Acknowledgements

#### **Radiation Oncology**

Bryan Burmeister Matthew Foote Elizabeth Brown Bena Cartmill David Pryor Ben Chua

#### **Data Manager/Statistics**

Anne Bernard Josephine Logan

#### **Diagnostic Imaging**

PAH RBWH

#### **Medical Oncology**

Margie McGrath Jim Coward

#### **ENT Surgery**

Ben Panizza Chris Perry Scott Coman James Bowman Ben Wallwork Raefe Gunderlach

#### **Radiation Oncology Fellow**

Howard Liu

![](_page_42_Picture_15.jpeg)